



A note on a periodic Parabolic-ODE chemotaxis system



Mihaela Negreanu^{a,*}, J. Ignacio Tello^b, Antonio M. Vargas^a

^a Depto. Análisis Matemático y Matemática Aplicada, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 2040, Spain

^b Depto. Matemáticas Fundamentales, Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia, 28040 Madrid, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 January 2020

Received in revised form 20 March 2020

Accepted 20 March 2020

Available online 25 March 2020

Keywords:

Chemotaxis

Periodic behavior

Global and uniform boundedness of solutions

Parabolic-ODE systems

ABSTRACT

Existence of global classical solutions with a certain periodic asymptotic behavior of a class of reaction diffusion systems with chemotactic terms is demonstrated. This class contains a system consisting of a parabolic equation with a logistic-like term describing the behavior of a biological species and an ordinary differential equation modeling the concentration of a chemical substance throughout a regular function h . The logistic-like term limits the growth of the biological species and it contains a carrying capacity with a time-periodic asymptotic behavior.

© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

We study the prototype chemotaxis reaction–diffusion problem with logistic source containing a carrying capacity with a time-periodic asymptotic behavior:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u - \operatorname{div}(\chi u \nabla v) + \mu u(1 - u + f(x, t)), & x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ v_t = h(u, v), & x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} - u \chi \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega, \quad t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

with positive initial data $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$, $v(x, 0) = v_0(x)$, satisfying $0 \leq u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap W^{1,s}(\Omega)$, $\underline{v} \leq v_0 \leq \bar{v}$, for $x \in \Omega$ and positive constants μ , χ , \underline{v} and \bar{v} . Here $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open bounded domain with smooth boundary of measure $|\Omega| = 1$. The growth of u is limited by a logistic-like term, where the carrying capacity presents a spatial and time dependence, $f(x, t)$, describing the resources of the environment.

Parabolic-ODE systems with chemotactic terms have been considered from the 90s, after the pioneering works of Anderson and Chaplain [1] and Levine and Sleeman [2] modeling tumor angiogenesis. Several

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: negreanu@mat.ucm.es (M. Negreanu), jtello@mat.uned.es (J.I. Tello), antonvar@ucm.es (A.M. Vargas).

authors have considered these PDEs models from a mathematical point of view to study finite time blow up or global boundedness of solutions and its asymptotic behavior. Inspired by the technics of semi-linear parabolic equations, the number of works considering parabolic-ODE systems of chemotaxis has increased considerably within last two decades, see for instance the review Horstmann [3] and references therein for more details.

We assume that $h = h(u, v) \in W_{loc}^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$, χ and f satisfy the following conditions for some $\varepsilon > 0$,
 H1. $h_u > 0$, $h_v \leq -\varepsilon_v < 0$, $0 \leq h(0, 0) < \mu\varepsilon/(2\chi)$, $-h(0, v) \leq ce^{\chi v}$, $h_v + u\chi h_u \leq -\varepsilon_v/2$, with $\varepsilon_v, c > 0$.

H2. For a given constant $\mathcal{C}_4 := \mathcal{C}_4(u_0, \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \mu, \chi, c)$, defined in Lemma 2, with $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, h fulfills $\limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} h(\mathcal{C}_4 e^{\chi s}, s) \leq -\varepsilon_0$.

H3. ε fulfills $-1 + \varepsilon < f(x, t)$ and there exists a periodic function f^* such that

$$\inf_{x \in \Omega} f(x, t) < f^*(t) < \sup_{x \in \Omega} f(x, t), \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|f(x, t) - f^*(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty \quad (2)$$

We denote by u^* and v^* the periodic solutions of the ODEs equations

$$u_t^* = \mu u^*(1 - u^* + f^*), \quad \text{and} \quad v_t^* = h(u^*, v^*) \quad (3)$$

(with f^* as in (2), see [4] for the properties of the solution u^* to the Bernoulli's equation). The above conditions cover the examples $h(r, s) = re^{-\chi s} - as$, with $a > 0$ and $h(r, s) = (re^{-\chi s} + s)/(1 + s) - s$.

Theorem 1. *Let the conditions H1. to H3. hold. Then, for any nonnegative initial data $(u_0, v_0) \in (L^\infty(\Omega) \cap W^{1,s}(\Omega))^2$, there exists a unique global nonnegative solution (u, v) to system (1) uniformly bounded such that $(u, v) \in C([0, \infty), (W^{1,s}(\Omega))^2) \cap C^1((0, \infty), (W^{1,s}(\Omega))' \times W^{1,s}(\Omega))$ and $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C < \infty$. Moreover, $\|u(x, t) - u^*(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ and $\|v(x, t) - v^*(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$.*

2. Proof of Theorem 1

The global existence of (u, v) over $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ is a direct consequence of the local existence (following Theorem 6.4 in [5] and the Maximum Principle) and the uniform boundedness of (u, v) in L^∞ established in the following lemma:

Lemma 2. *Let hypotheses H1.-H3. hold. Then*

- (1) *the total mass of the component u of the solution to (1) is bounded, i.e., $\int_{\Omega} u dx \leq \mathcal{C}_1$, $0 < \mathcal{C}_1 < \infty$;*
- (2) *there exist positive constants $\mathcal{C}_{2,3}$, depending only on $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ and μ , such that for all $t \in (0, T_{max} - t_0)$ ($T_{max} > 0$ is the maximal time), $t_0 = \min\{1, T_{max}/2\}$, $\int_t^{t+t_0} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx ds \leq \mathcal{C}_2$, $\int_0^t e^{s-t} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx ds \leq \mathcal{C}_3$.*
- (3) *there exists positive constant \mathcal{C}_4 depending on $\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}$, $\|f\|_{L^\infty}$, μ , χ and $\bar{v} < \infty$ such that*

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} u^p (e^{\chi v})^{1-p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \mathcal{C}_4 \quad \text{and} \quad v(x, t) < \bar{v}. \quad (4)$$

(4) *function u is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq e^{\chi \bar{v}} \mathcal{C}_4$.*

Proof. The proofs of (1) and (2) are similar to that performed in [6] and [4], so we omit it here. We follow an iterative argument of Alikakos–Moser type in order to derive a bound for u . As in [6] and [7], we multiply the first equation in (1) by $u^{p-1} e^{-(1-p)\chi v}$ and integrate by parts over Ω . Since

$$\int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} e^{\chi(1-p)v} (\Delta u - \chi \nabla(u \nabla v)) dx = -(p-1) \int_{\Omega} u^{p-2} e^{(1-p)\chi v} (\nabla u - \chi u \nabla v)^2 dx < 0,$$

using the properties of h , by the Mean Value Theorem and Young’s inequality, for every $p \geq 1$ and a positive $\epsilon := \mu / (2\mu + 2\mu\|f\|_{L^\infty} + 2)$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^p (e^{\chi v})^{1-p} dx \leq - \int_{\Omega} u^p (e^{\chi v})^{1-p} dx + \frac{\mu}{2\epsilon^{p+1}} - \frac{p\mu}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} (e^{\chi v})^{1-p} dx + c\chi(p-1) \int_{\Omega} u^p (e^{\chi v})^{2-p} dx.$$

By solving the differential equation, integrating in time and eliminating the nonpositive summand it yields

$$\int_0^t e^{s-t} \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} (e^{\chi v})^{1-p} dx ds \leq \mathcal{C}_5^p := \left[\max \left\{ \frac{6\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}}{\min\{1, \mu\}}, \frac{3}{\epsilon^2}, \frac{6c\chi}{\mu}, \mathcal{C}_3, 1 \right\} \right]^p,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} u^p (e^{\chi v})^{1-p} dx \leq \mathcal{C}_4^p := \max\{3\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}, \frac{3\mu}{2\epsilon^2}, c\chi\epsilon\mathcal{C}_5\}^p.$$

In view of H2., we assume that for any $\bar{v} > \|v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $v(x, t_0) = \bar{v}$, which is the first t_0 fulfilling this condition. Since $v_0 < \bar{v}$, for \bar{v} large enough, we have that $v_t(t_0) \leq h(\mathcal{C}_4 e^{\chi \bar{v}}, \bar{v}) < 0$. With this contradiction, the proof of (3) is complete.

As a consequence of the previous bounds and taking limits when $p \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude (4). \square

Lemma 3. Assume H1.–H3. There exists a positive constant \mathcal{C}_6 such that the following estimate holds

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \leq \mathcal{C}_6 < \infty. \tag{5}$$

Proof. Consider the functions

$$F_1 := \int_{\Omega} \frac{u}{u^*} dx - 1 + \ln u^* - \int_{\Omega} \ln u dx; \quad F_2 := \ln \left(\int_{\Omega} u dx \right) - \ln u^*. \tag{6}$$

Functionals of quite a similar form have previously been used in several works on related chemotaxis problems, e.g., in [8]. Notice that $F_1 \geq 0$ and $F_2 \geq c_0$. After direct calculations we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(F_1 + F_2 + k \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \right) \leq \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\int_{\Omega} u dx}{u^*} \right) + \mu \|f^* - f\|_{L^1(\Omega)},$$

with $k := \epsilon_0 / (2\mathcal{C}_4^2 \|h_u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)})$ and ϵ_0 as in H2., (for more details, see [6,9]). We divide by u^* in (3) and integrate over $(0, T)$, since

$$u^* \geq \epsilon_1 > 0, \quad \int_0^\infty \|f^* - f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} dt \leq c < \infty, \quad \int_{\Omega} \ln u dx \leq \int_{\Omega} u dx \leq \mathcal{C}_1 < \infty$$

we obtain (5). \square

Lemma 4. Under assumptions H1.–H3. we have that there exists $\epsilon_2 > 0$ independent of t , such that

$$\int_{\Omega} u dx \geq \epsilon_2, \quad \text{for any } t > 0. \tag{7}$$

Proof. We proceed as in Mizukami–Yokota [7] Lemma 4.2. and multiply the equation of u by $u^{-\beta} e^{\chi \beta v}$ for some $\beta \in (1, 2)$. After integration by parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} e^{\chi \beta v} u^{1-\beta} dx &= \chi \beta \int_{\Omega} h(u, v) e^{\chi \beta v} u^{1-\beta} dx + (1-\beta)\beta \int_{\Omega} e^{\chi \beta v} u^{-1-\beta} |\nabla u - \chi u \nabla v|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \mu(1-\beta) \int_{\Omega} e^{\chi \beta v} u^{1-\beta} (1+f-u) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta \in (1, 2)$, we have that

$$(1-\beta)\beta \int_{\Omega} e^{\chi \beta v} u^{-1-\beta} |\nabla u - \chi u \nabla v|^2 dx \leq 0.$$

Notice that, by the Mean Value Theorem it yields $h(u, v) = h(0, 0) + h_u(\xi_1, 0)u + h_v(u, \xi_2)v$, for some (ξ_1, ξ_2) . Assumption H1. implies

$$\int_{\Omega} h(u, v)e^{\chi\beta v}u^{1-\beta}dx \leq h(0, 0) \int_{\Omega} e^{\chi\beta v}u^{1-\beta}dx + c_1 \int_{\Omega} e^{\chi\beta v}u^{2-\beta}dx,$$

with $0 < c_1 < \infty$. Therefore we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} e^{\chi\beta v}u^{1-\beta}dx \leq \chi\beta h(0, 0) \int_{\Omega} e^{\chi\beta v}u^{1-\beta}dx + \mu(1 - \beta)\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} e^{\chi\beta v}u^{1-\beta}dx + [\mu(\beta - 1) + c_1] \int_{\Omega} e^{\chi\beta v}u^{2-\beta}dx.$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} e^{\chi\beta v}u^{1-\beta}dx \leq [\chi\beta h(0, 0) - \mu(\beta - 1)\varepsilon] \int_{\Omega} e^{\chi\beta v}u^{1-\beta}dx + c_2, \quad c_2 > 0.$$

In view of assumption H1. for β close enough to 2, we get, by Maximum Principle that $\int_{\Omega} e^{\chi\beta v}u^{1-\beta}dx \leq c$ and the non-negativity of v implies $\int_{\Omega} u^{1-\beta}dx \leq \tilde{c}$, with positive constants c and \tilde{c} . We find a positive lower bound for $\int_{\Omega} udx$ as in (7) by applying the Hölder inequality, i.e.,

$$|\Omega|^{\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{1-\beta}dx \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \leq \int_{\Omega} udx$$

Similar results can be found in [10] and [11] for parabolic–elliptic and fully parabolic systems (see also [12] for parabolic-ODE systems). \square

As in [4], in order to prove the convergence of the solution u to u^* , we proceed into two steps: first we get the convergence of the solution u to its average $\int_{\Omega} u$, to obtain later the convergence of the average to the periodic function u^* , the solution of the first equation in (3). We define the positive functions

$$K(t) := \int_{\Omega} \left(u - \int_{\Omega} udx \right)^2 dx \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{K}(t) := \left(\int_{\Omega} udx - u^* \right)^2. \tag{8}$$

Lemma 5. *Assume H1.–H3. There exists a positive constant C_6 such that the following estimate holds*

$$\int_0^{\infty} K(t)dt \leq C_6 < \infty. \tag{9}$$

Proof. Consider F_1 and F_2 as defined in (6). After direct calculations we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(F_1 + F_2 + k \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \right) + K \leq \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\int_{\Omega} udx}{u^*} \right) + \mu \|f^* - f\|_{L^1(\Omega)},$$

with $k := \epsilon_0 / (2C_4^2 \|h_u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)})$ and ϵ_0 as in H2., (for more details, see [6,9]). We divide by u^* in (3) and integrate over $(0, T)$ to obtain a lower bound, $\varepsilon_1 \leq u^*$; moreover by Lemma 4, we have $\int_{\Omega} u \geq \varepsilon_2$. After integration over $(0, T)$ and taking limits when $T \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain (9). \square

Lemma 6. *Let H1.–H3. hold. Then,*

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dxdt \leq C_7 < \infty, \quad \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dxdt \leq C_8 < \infty, \quad \int_0^{\infty} \tilde{K} dt \leq C_9 \int_0^{\infty} F_2^2 dt < \infty, \tag{10}$$

for some positive constants C_7, C_8 and C_9 .

Proof. We proceed as in previous lemma,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(F_1 + F_2 + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda_0}{2} |\nabla v|^2 \right) + K + \frac{p_0}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda_0}{2} |\nabla v|^2 \leq \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\int_{\Omega} udx}{u^*} \right) + \mu \|f^* - f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \tag{11}$$

with

$$p_0 := \frac{4\epsilon_v^2}{C_4^2 \|h_u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_0 = \frac{-2h_v - \chi u h_u}{u^2 h_u^2}$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx dt \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} dx dt \leq C_8 < \infty;$$

moreover $d(F_2^2)/dt + 2\mu\xi F_2^2 \leq |F_2|K(t) + |F_2|\mu\|f - f^*\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq 2C_4^2(K(t) + \mu\|f - f^*\|_{L^1(\Omega)})$.

Then, after integration it results $\int_0^\infty F_2^2 dt < \infty$. By Lemma 2, we have $\tilde{K} \leq C_9 F_2^2 < \infty$. Therefore, after integration over $(0, \infty)$ in (11), we end the proof. \square

Now we apply a weaker version of Lemma 5.1 in Friedman–Tello [13],

Lemma 7. *Let $k : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying $k(t) \geq 0, k' \leq C$ for any $t \geq 0$, and $\int_0^\infty k(s) ds \leq C < \infty$, then, $k(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.*

From a direct calculation we have $K' \leq C_{10}$ and $\tilde{K}' \leq C_{11}$, with two positive constants C_{10} and C_{11} . Hence, K and \tilde{K} verify the assumptions of Lemma 7 and then

$$\|u - u^*\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \int_\Omega |u - u^*|^2 dx \leq K + \tilde{K} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

We denote $z = v - v^*$. Then, by the assumptions on h and in view of the convergence rate for u we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega z^2 dx \leq -\epsilon_v \int_\Omega z^2 dx + \|h_u z\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \int_\Omega (u - u^*)^2 dx.$$

By solving the differential inequality we get the convergence rate for v .

The uniform boundedness over $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ and the convergence rates for the solution (u, v) to (1) immediately lead to Theorem 1. \square

Remark 1. As a consequence of Theorem 1, and in view of uniform bounds of u and v we conclude

$$\|u(x, t) - u^*(t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|v(x, t) - v^*(t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for any } p \in [1, \infty)$$

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by Project MTM2017-83391-P, DGICT, MICINN Spain.

References

- [1] A.R. Anderson, M.A. Chaplain, Continuous and discrete mathematical models of tumor-induced angiogenesis, Bull. Math. Biol. 60 (5) (1998) 857–899.
- [2] H.A. Levine, B.D. Sleeman, A system of reaction diffusion equation arising in the theory of reinforced random walks, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 57 (1997) 683–730.
- [3] D. Horstmann, From 1970 until present: the Keller–Segel model in chemotaxis and its consequences, Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver. 105 (3) (2003) 103–165.
- [4] M. Negreanu, J.I. Tello, A.M. Vargas, On a parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis system with periodic asymptotic behavior, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. (2018) 1–17.
- [5] H. Amann, Dynamic theory of quasilinear parabolic equations. II. Reaction–diffusion systems, Differential Integral Equations 3 (1990) 13–75.
- [6] M. Negreanu, J.I. Tello, On a parabolic–elliptic chemotactic system with non-constant chemotactic sensivity, Nonlinear Anal. 80 (2013) 1–13.
- [7] M. Mizukami, T. Yokota, Global existence and asymptotic stability of solutions to a two-species chemotaxis system with any chemical diffusion, J. Differential Equations 261 (2016) 2650–2669.

- [8] X. Bai, M. Winkler, Equilibration in a fully parabolic two-species chemotaxis system with competitive kinetics, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 65 (2) (2016) 553–583.
- [9] M. Negreanu, J.I. Tello, A.M. Vargas, On a fully parabolic chemotaxis system with source term and periodic asymptotic behavior, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 71 (2020) 65.
- [10] Y. Tao, M. Winkler, Large time behavior in a multidimensional chemotaxis-haptotaxis model with slow signal diffusion, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 47 (6) (2015) 4229–4250.
- [11] Y. Tao, M. Winkler, Persistence of mass in a chemotaxis system with logistic source, *J. Differential Equations* 259 (2015) 6142–6161.
- [12] M. Negreanu, J.I. Tello, Asymptotic stability of a two species chemotaxis system with non-diffusive chemoattractant, *J. Differential Equations* 258 (2015) 1592–1617.
- [13] A. Friedman, J.I. Tello, Stability of solutions of chemotaxis equations in reinforced random walks, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 272 (2002) 138–163.