Provided for non-commercial research and education use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

http://www.elsevier.com/authorsrights

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 415 (2014) 963–971

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

Asymptotic stability of a mathematical model of cell population



Mihaela Negreanu^{a,*}, J. Ignacio Tello^b

^a Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain
 ^b Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, E.U.I. Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, 28031 Madrid, Spain

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 20 November 2013 Available online 20 February 2014 Submitted by J.J. Nieto

Keywords: Free boundary problem Stability Comparison method Asymptotic behavior

ABSTRACT

We consider a simplified system of a growing colony of cells described as a free boundary problem. The system consists of two hyperbolic equations of first order coupled to an ODE to describe the behavior of the boundary. The system for cell populations includes non-local terms of integral type in the coefficients. By introducing a comparison with solutions of an ODE's system, we show that there exists a unique homogeneous steady state which is globally asymptotically stable for a range of parameters under the assumption of radially symmetric initial data. © 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The growth of cells colonies has been a focus of research since the first studies of cancer. With the increasing number of experimental data have appeared a large hierarchy of mathematical models. In this paper, we consider a simplified mathematical model in a free boundary domain. The system simplifies the problem proposed in [9] based on a continuous distribution of cell population and modeled by hyperbolic equations.

Systems of hyperbolic equations in that context have been used before by several authors (see for instance Friedman [2,1], Friedman and Tao [3], Tao [8] and references therein).

We denote by "s" the density of a colony of cells in a bounded domain $\Omega(t)$ with moving boundary " $\partial \Omega(t)$ ". We assume that the cells die at constant death rate k_s . The dead cells are removed at constant rate k_d as they decompose at rate k'_d assumed constant. For technical reasons we take

$$k_d > \frac{4}{3}.\tag{1.1}$$

The volume is composed by the living cells and death cells and it is exemplified as a porous medium with a radial distribution in a spherical domain. The flow velocity in the interior of the colony is denoted by "v"

* Corresponding author.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2014.02.032 0022-247X/© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

E-mail addresses: negreanu@mat.ucm.es (M. Negreanu), jtello@eui.upm.es (J.I. Tello).

and the density of death cells by d. After renormalization we may assume

 $k'_d = k_d$

and the problem is described by the following system of equations

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (vs) = k_s s - k_d s, \quad 0 < t < T, \ x \in \Omega(t),$$
$$\frac{\partial d}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (vd) = k_d s - k_d d, \quad 0 < t < T, \ x \in \Omega(t)$$
(1.2)

with regular initial data.

The growth factor depends of the total mass of living cells and it is defined by

$$k_s = 1 - \frac{1}{|\Omega(t)|} \int_{\Omega(t)} s.$$

The coefficient represents the balance between the constant birth rate and the death rate caused by the limited resources. We consider that the resources depend of total amount of population $\frac{1}{|\Omega(t)|} \int_{\Omega(t)} s$ and have a constant distribution in the domain.

We assume that the density of cells into the colony is constant, i.e.,

$$s + d = 1. \tag{1.3}$$

Adding both equations we have that

$$\nabla \cdot v = k_s s - k_d d. \tag{1.4}$$

We take on radial symmetry and therefore the domain $\Omega(t)$ is as follows

$$\Omega(t) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ so that } |x| < R(t) \right\}$$

where R(t) is the exterior boundary of the tumor. Velocity "v" of the cells at the boundary determines the expansion of the free boundary, i.e.,

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = v\big(R(t), t\big).$$

The aim of the present paper is to prove that:

Theorem 1.1. For $k_d > 4/3$, there exists a constant steady state

$$s^* = 1 + \frac{k_d - \sqrt{k_d^2 + 4k_d}}{2},$$

such that, for any positive and regular initial data s_0 , the solution s of system (1.2) satisfies

$$\left\|s-s^*\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \to 0, \quad \text{as } t \to \infty$$

and $R(t) \sim R_0 \exp(((1 - s^* + k_d)s^* - k_d)t))$.

The proof is based on a comparison argument adapted to hyperbolic equations. Comparison arguments have been widely used in parabolic systems, see for instance [4–7]. In the next section we construct a coupled system of ODE's to obtain a sub- and super-solutions of the hyperbolic equation (Lemma 2.4). The asymptotic behavior of the sub- and super-solutions (Lemma 2.3) is the final step to prove the theorem.

2. Mathematical analysis

We introduce the spacial variable $r \in I := (0, 1)$ with formula as follows

$$r := \frac{|x|}{R(t)}.$$

Since

$$\nabla \cdot (vs) = s\nabla \cdot v + v \cdot \nabla s = s(k_s s - k_d d) + \frac{v}{R} \frac{\partial s}{\partial r}$$

and

$$k_s = 1 - \frac{1}{|\Omega(t)|} \int_{\Omega(t)} s = 1 - 3 \int_I r^2 s,$$
(2.5)

thanks to (1.3), the system (1.2)-(1.4) becomes

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial t} + \left(-r\frac{\dot{R}}{R} + \frac{v}{R}\right)\frac{\partial s}{\partial r} = k_s s - s^2(k_s + k_d),\tag{2.6}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{v}{R} \right) = r^2 \left((k_s + k_d) s - k_d \right), \tag{2.7}$$

where k_s is defined in (2.5). The system is completed with the initial data $s_0 := s(r, 0)$ and the boundary condition obtained by integration in (2.7).

We consider the auxiliary system of ODE's given by

$$\overline{s}' = \overline{s}(1 - \underline{s}) - \overline{s}^2(1 + k_d - \overline{s}), \quad 0 < t < T,$$

$$(2.8)$$

$$\underline{s}' = \underline{s}(1 - \overline{s}) - \underline{s}^2(1 + k_d - \underline{s}), \quad 0 < t < T,$$
(2.9)

with positive initial data \underline{s}_0 and \overline{s}_0 , respectively.

Lemma 2.1. Assuming that

$$0 < \underline{s}_0 < \overline{s}_0 < 1, \tag{2.10}$$

the solution to (2.8)–(2.9) exists globally in time and satisfies

$$0 < s < \overline{s} < 1.$$

Proof. Since on the right hand side of the equations the functions are regular, we have existence and uniqueness of solutions in a maximal interval $(0, T_{max})$, where T_{max} satisfies

$$\lim_{t \to T_{max}} t + \left| \underline{s}(t) \right| + \left| \overline{s}(t) \right| = \infty$$

and

$$t + |\underline{s}(t)| + |\overline{s}(t)| < \infty$$
, for any $t < T_{max}$.

Now, we take the first $t_0 > 0$ such that either

(1) $\underline{s}(t_0) = 0,$ (2) $\overline{s}(t_0) = 1,$ (3) $\underline{s}(t_0) = \overline{s}(t_0)$

or any combinations of the previous cases. We argue by contradiction and take for granted that (3) occurs. Then $\underline{s}'(t_0) = \overline{s}'(t_0)$, we consider the *ODE*

$$u' = u(1-u) - u^2(1+k_d-u), \qquad t > t_0, \qquad u(t_0) = \underline{s}(t_0) = \overline{s}(t_0),$$

which has a unique solution. Notice that $\underline{s} = \overline{s} = u$ is the solution to the system (2.8), (2.9) with initial data $\underline{s}(t_0) = \overline{s}(t_0) = u(t_0)$. By uniqueness of solutions, $\overline{s} = \underline{s}$ for any $t \in (0, T_{max})$, which contradicts (2.10). If $\overline{s}(t_0) = 1$, then $\overline{s}'(t_0) \ge 0$ and we have that

$$0 \leqslant 1 - \underline{s} - k_d$$

which contradicts (1.1). If $\underline{s}(t_0) = 0$, by uniqueness of solutions we have that $\underline{s} = 0$ for any $t \in [0, T_{max})$ which contradicts (2.10). Finally, the solution is uniformly bounded and exists globally, i.e. $T_{max} = \infty$. \Box

Lemma 2.2. Let $s^* = 1 + (k_d - (k_d^2 + 4k_d)^{\frac{1}{2}})/2$, then assuming

$$\underline{s}_0 < s^* < \overline{s}_0, \tag{2.11}$$

it leads us into

 $\underline{s} < s^* < \overline{s},$

where $(\underline{s}, \overline{s})$ satisfies (2.8)–(2.9), with initial data $(\underline{s}_0, \overline{s}_0)$.

Proof. As in the previous lemma, we argue by contradiction. Assume there exists $t_0 < \infty$ such that

$$\overline{s}(t_0) = s^*,$$

$$\underline{s}(t) < s^* \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{s}(t) > s^*, \quad t < t_0.$$
(2.12)

Notice that, by Lemma 2.1 we have that $\underline{s}(t_0) < \overline{s}(t_0) = s^*$. Since s^* satisfies

$$1 - s^* - s^* (1 + k_d - s^*) = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\overline{s}'(t_0) = s^* \left(s^* - \underline{s} \right) > 0$$

which contradicts (2.12) and proves $\overline{s}(t_0) > s^*$.

In the same way we prove $\underline{s}(t_0) < s^*$. Notice that $s^* \in (0, 1)$ as a consequence of (1.1). \Box

966

Lemma 2.3. Let us consider hypotheses (1.1), (2.10) and (2.11). Then, we have $\underline{s} > s^* \underline{s}_0 / \overline{s}_0$ and

$$|\overline{s} - \underline{s}| \to 0$$
, as $t \to \infty$

Proof. We divide (2.8) by \overline{s} and (2.9) by \underline{s} to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\log\frac{\overline{s}}{\underline{s}} = -k_d(\overline{s}-\underline{s}) + (\overline{s}^2 - \underline{s}^2) = ((\overline{s}+\underline{s}) - k_d)(\overline{s}-\underline{s}).$$
(2.13)

By Lemma 2.1, it results in

$$\overline{s} + \underline{s} - k_d \leqslant 1 + s^* - k_d = 2 + \frac{k_d - \sqrt{k_d^2 + 4k_d}}{2} - k_d = 2 + \frac{-k_d - \sqrt{k_d^2 + 4k_d}}{2} := -\epsilon_0.$$

Notice that, by (1.1) we have $\epsilon_0 > 0$. We now follow the proof in [10], and thanks to (2.13)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\log\frac{\overline{s}}{\underline{s}} \leqslant -\epsilon_0(\overline{s}-\underline{s}). \tag{2.14}$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.1 we have that $\overline{s} - \underline{s} \ge 0$ and therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt}\log\frac{\overline{s}}{\underline{s}}\leqslant 0.$$

After integration we obtain

$$\log \frac{\overline{s}}{\underline{s}} \leqslant \log \frac{\overline{s}_0}{\underline{s}_0}$$

which implies

$$\frac{\overline{s}}{\underline{s}} \leqslant \frac{\overline{s}_0}{\underline{s}_0}$$

and

 $\overline{s}\leqslant \frac{\overline{s}_{0}}{\underline{s}_{0}}\underline{s}.$

By Lemma 2.2, it results in

$$\underline{s} \ge \overline{s}\underline{s}_0/\overline{s}_0 > s^*\underline{s}_0/\overline{s}_0. \tag{2.15}$$

We now apply the Mean Value Theorem to (2.14) to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\log\frac{\overline{s}}{\underline{s}} \leqslant -\epsilon_0(\overline{s}-\underline{s}) \leqslant -\epsilon_0 \exp\left(\log\frac{\overline{s}}{\underline{s}}\right) \leqslant -\epsilon_0\xi(t)\left(\log\frac{\overline{s}}{\underline{s}}\right)$$

for $\xi(t) \in (\underline{s}(t), \overline{s}(t))$. Thanks to (2.15) and Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\log\frac{\overline{s}}{\underline{s}} \leqslant -\epsilon_0 s^* \frac{\underline{s}_0}{\overline{s}_0} \left(\log\frac{\overline{s}}{\underline{s}}\right).$$

After integration we obtain

$$\log \frac{\overline{s}}{\underline{s}} \leqslant e^{-\epsilon_0 s^* \frac{\underline{s}_0}{\overline{s}_0} t} \log \frac{\overline{s}_0}{\underline{s}_0}$$

and

$$\frac{\overline{s}}{\underline{s}} \leqslant \exp\left\{e^{-\epsilon_0 s^* \frac{\underline{s}_0}{\overline{s}_0} t} \log \frac{\overline{s}_0}{\underline{s}_0}\right\}$$

Thanks to (2.15) we have that

$$\left|\overline{s} - \underline{s}\right| = \underline{s} \left| \frac{\overline{s}}{\underline{s}} - 1 \right| \leqslant s^* \left| \frac{\overline{s}}{\underline{s}} - 1 \right| \leqslant s^* \left| exp \left\{ e^{-\epsilon_0 s^* \frac{\underline{s}_0}{\overline{s}_0} t} \log \frac{\overline{s}_0}{\underline{s}_0} \right\} - 1 \right| \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty$$

and the proof ends. $\ \ \Box$

Lemma 2.4. Under assumption

$$0 < \underline{s}_0 \leqslant \overline{s}_0 \leqslant \overline{s}_0 \leqslant 1, \tag{2.16}$$

the solutions to (2.8)–(2.9) and (2.6)–(2.7) satisfy

$$\underline{s} \leqslant s \leqslant \overline{s}, \quad for \ t > 0. \tag{2.17}$$

Proof. The proof of the lemma presents some technical differences with standard comparison arguments, mainly because the integral factors. To solve such problem we introduce the following auxiliary function

$$\phi(s) := \begin{cases} \underline{s} & \text{if } s \leq \underline{s} \\ s & \text{if } s \in (\underline{s}, \overline{s}) \\ \overline{s} & \text{if } s \geqslant \overline{s}. \end{cases}$$

We replace s by $\phi(s)$ in the integral terms of the system and once we prove the lemma, we may eliminate ϕ . We consider the following functions

$$\overline{S} = s - \overline{s}, \qquad \underline{S} = s - \underline{s}.$$

Notice that \overline{S} satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial \overline{S}}{\partial t} + \left(-r\frac{\dot{R}}{R} + \frac{v}{R}\right)\frac{\partial \overline{S}}{\partial r} = (k_s - k_d)\overline{S} - \overline{S}\left((k_s + k_d)s - k_d\right) -\overline{s}\left((k_s + k_d)s - k_s + 1 - \underline{s} - \overline{s}(1 + k_d - \overline{s})\right).$$
(2.18)

Moreover we have

$$-\overline{s}\big((k_s+k_d)s-k_s+1-\underline{s}-\overline{s}(1+k_d-\overline{s})\big) = -\overline{s}\bigg((1+k_d)\overline{S}+3\int_I r^2\big(\phi(s)-\underline{s}\big)-3s\int_I r^2\phi(s)+\overline{s}^2\bigg)$$
$$\leqslant -\overline{s}(1+k_d-\overline{s})\overline{S}.$$

968

We introduce the function H_{ϵ} defined by

$$H_{\epsilon}(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > \epsilon \\ \frac{x}{\epsilon} & \text{if } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant \epsilon \\ 0 & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Multiplying (2.18) by $r^2 H_{\epsilon}(\overline{S})$ and integrating over I, by taking limits as $\epsilon \to 0$, we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{I} r^{2} \overline{S}_{+} + \int_{I} r^{2} \left(-r \frac{\dot{R}}{R} + \frac{v}{R} \right) \frac{\partial \overline{S}_{+}}{\partial r} = \int_{I} r^{2} \overline{S}_{+} \left[(k_{s} - k_{d}) \overline{S} - \overline{S} \left((k_{s} + k_{d}) s - k_{d} \right) \right] - \int_{I} r^{2} \overline{S}_{+} \overline{s} (1 + k_{d} - \overline{s}).$$

$$(2.19)$$

The second term in the left side of (2.19) is treated in the following way

$$\int_{I} r^2 \left(-r\frac{\dot{R}}{R} + \frac{v}{R} \right) \frac{\partial \overline{S}_+}{\partial r} = 3\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \int_{I} r^2 \overline{S}_+ - \int_{I} \overline{S}_+ \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial r^2 v}{\partial r}.$$

Thanks to (2.7) the last term in the previous equation is simplified to

$$-\int_{I} r^2 \overline{S}_+ \frac{1}{r^2 R} \frac{\partial r^2 v}{\partial r} = -\int_{I} r^2 \overline{S}_+ \left((k_s + k_d)s - k_d \right)$$
(2.20)

resulting in

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int\limits_{I} r^2 \overline{S}_+ \leqslant \left(-3\frac{\dot{R}}{R} + c\right) \int\limits_{I} r^2 \overline{S}_+$$

By Gronwall's Lemma we obtain that $s \leq \overline{s}$ as far as $\dot{R}R^{-1}$ is bounded. In the same way we obtain $s \geq \underline{s}$.

To end the demonstration we claim that $\dot{R}R^{-1}$ is bounded for all $t < \infty$. By contradiction, if there exists $T_{max} < \infty$ such that $|\dot{R}R^{-1}| = \infty$ then

$$v(1, T_{max}) = \lim_{t \to T_{max}} \int_{I} r^2 \left((k_s + k_d)s - k_d \right) = \infty$$

which contradicts the fact that $s \leq \overline{s}$ in $(0, T_{max})$ and the testing is done. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.1. As a consequence of Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 we have that

$$\left\|s - s^*\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty$$

and $R(t) \sim R_0 \exp(((1 - s^* + k_d)s^* - k_d)t)$ and it's proved as right. \Box

3. Numerical simulations and discussion

We have analyzed a first order system of hyperbolic equations in a moving domain with non-local terms depending on a parameter k_d . The system describes a cell's population dynamics related with other mathematical models in tumor growth existing in the literature. The results show how the population tends to a homogeneous distribution of cells in the domain as time grows for $k_d > 4/3$. A lower estimation of the



Fig. 1. Density distribution for the solution s (-), the sub-solution \underline{s} (\triangle), super-solution \overline{s} (∇) and the steady state s^* (*) at t = 0 (left) and $t = 0.5 \cdot 10^3$ (right).



Fig. 2. Density distribution for the solution s (-), the sub-solution \underline{s} (Δ), super-solution \overline{s} (∇) and the steady state s^* (*) at $t = 1.5 \cdot 10^3$ (left) and $t = 2 \cdot 10^3$ (right).

rate of convergence in $L^{\infty}(\Omega(t))$ is given by the rate of convergence of the solutions to the associated EDO' system (2.8)–(2.9).

The following numerical simulations show the convergence of the solution for $k_d = 2$ and how the suband super-solutions reach the steady state (see Figs. 1 and 2). Notice that the minimum distance between the maximum of the solution and the super-solution, i.e. $|\bar{s} - \max_{r \in \Omega(t)} s|$ is not monotone.

For the remaining cases, when $k_d \leq 4/3$, it is possible that the solutions do not stabilize towards homogeneous profiles and even non-constant equilibria may appear, but these require entirely different approaches than pursued here where only asymptotic stability is studied.

Acknowledgment

The authors are partially supported by *Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad* of Spain under grant MTM2009-13655.

References

- A. Friedman, Cancer models and their mathematical analysis, in: Tutorials in Mathematical Biosciences. III, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1872, Springer, Berlin, 2006, pp. 223–246.
- [2] A. Friedman, Cancer as multifaceted disease, Math. Model. Nat. Phenom. 7 (1) (2012) 3–28.
- [3] A. Friedman, Y. Tao, Analysis of a model of a virus that replicates selectively in tumor cells, J. Math. Biol. 47 (2003) 391–423.
- [4] M. Negreanu, J.I. Tello, On a parabolic-elliptic chemotactic system with non-constant chemotactic sensitivity, Nonlinear Anal. 80 (2013) 1–13.

- [5] M. Negreanu, J.I. Tello, On a comparison method to reaction-diffusion systems and its applications to chemotaxis, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B 18 (10) (2013) 2669–2688.
- [6] C.V. Pao, Nonlinear Parabolic and Elliptic Equations, Springer, 1992.
- [7] C.V. Pao, Comparison methods and stability analysis of reaction diffusion systems, in: Comparison Methods and Stability Theory, in: Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., vol. 162, Dekker, New York, 1994, pp. 277–292.
- [8] Y. Tao, A free boundary problem modeling the cell cycle and cell movement in multicellular tumor spheroids, J. Differential Equations 247 (1) (2009) 49–68.
- [9] J.I. Tello, On a mathematical model of tumor growth based on cancer stem cells, Math. Biosci. Eng. 10 (1) (2013) 263–278.
- [10] J.I. Tello, M. Winkler, Stabilization in a two-species chemotaxis system with logistic source, Nonlinearity 25 (2012) 1413–1425.